

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)**

**CR-24-0091-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v ANTAJUAN STEWART CARSON JR.**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 5-Apr-2024

Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent**

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Respondent**

Jeffrey Amlee, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 67851)

**Side 2. ANTAJUAN STEWART CARSON JR., Petitioner**

(Litigant Group) ANTAJUAN STEWART CARSON JR.

- Antajuan Stewart Carson, Jr.


**Attorneys for: Petitioner**

Alex D Heveri, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 13869)

**CASE STATUS**

Apr 5, 2024.....Awaiting Petition for Review

Apr 5, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)		Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CR 23-0215 PRPC					
 PIM	CR20194645001			James E Marner, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**4 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 5-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order: Motion to Extend Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Carson)
- 9-Apr-2024 A "Motion for Procedural Order: Motion to Extend Time to File Petition for Review" (Petitioner Carson) having been filed on April 5, 2024,  
  
IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review on or before May 13, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by May 13, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 1-May-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order: Motion to Extend Time to File Pro Se Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Carson)
- 1-May-2024 Petitioner Carson filed a "Motion for Procedural Order: Motion to Extend Time to File Pro Se Petition for Review" on May 1, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Therefore,  
  
IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) or ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 13, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)